

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/643,289 | 08/19/2003 | Harvey A. Furman | 335970-00001 | 4033 |
| - 26304 7: | 590 08/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE | | | TUCKER, PHILIP C | |
| | NY 10022-2585 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1712 | - |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|---|
| | | 10/643,289 | FURMAN ET AL. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Philip C. Tucker | 1712 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuous and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i | Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 6-13 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.3.4.6 and 9-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access | r. | Examiner. |
| 11) | Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | 7.00.0.10.11.1.1.0.102. |
| 12)[a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/643,289

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Good (5877133).

Good teaches a cleaning composition which comprises a lower alkyl ester of a fatty acid, and which preferably comprises a glycol ether as a coupling agent (see abstract and column 4, lines 43-47). Good also teaches the use of polyalkylene glycol ethers within the scope of the invention as nonionic surfactants (column 3, lines 51-59), and antioxidants (column 5, lines 33-35). Terpene alcohols may also be included as in claim 9. The use of the term up to is also inclusive of 0% in claims 9 and 10. Good differs from the present invention in that a specific example of a composition which comprises the ester in the range of 40 to 99% is not disclosed. However, Good teaches that the compositions comprising the ester to combination of coupling agent and surfactant may be at a ratio as high as 2:1, wherein water is only optionally added (column 5, lines 16-26 and claim 9). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize cleaning compositions of Good comprising up to 66.66% ester, given the teaching of Good that the ester to combination of coupling agent and surfactant may be as high as 2:1. It would further be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize

Application/Control Number: 10/643,289 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

levels of the glycol ether coupling agent in the range of greater than 1% up to 25%, given that the combination of surfactant and coupling agent is taught to total around 33.33%. Applicant's intended use in wells or on well equipment does not distinguish over the prior art.

- 3. Claims 7 and 8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Applicants petition has been dismissed. Since the application does not have all the required elements to claim priority, then the rejection over Good is maintained.
- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712

PCT-4025